CUSTOMER NO.: 38107 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re application of)	Examiner: Kai RAJAN
Rolf NEUMANN)	
)	Art Unit: 2831
Serial No.: 10/596,111)	
)	Confirmation: 2170
Filed: March 6, 2007)	
·)	
For: MEDICAL MEASURING)	
DEVICE)	
)	
Date of Last Office Action:	<u> </u>	
December 4, 2008)	
,	Ś	
Attorney Docket No.:	Ś	Cleveland, OH 44114
PHDE030400US / PKRZ 2 01359	Ś	January 27, 2009
1122000100007111111222010007	,	· j j j

OF THE FINALITY OF THE OFFICE ACTION OF DECEMBER 4, 2008 (MPEP § 706.07(a),(c),(d),(e)

Mail Stop: AF Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

If the Examiner denies the applicant's request to withdraw the premature *Finality* of the December 4, 2008 Office Action in Amendment C filed herewith, the applicant hereby Petitions for withdrawal of the *Finality* of the December 4, 2008 Office Action.

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

Lecrtify that this CONDITIONAL PETITION FOR WITHDRAWALOF THE FINALITY OF THE OFFICE ACTION OF DECEMBER 4, 2008 (MPEP § 706.07(a),(c),(d),(e) and accompanying documents in connection with U.S. Scrial No. 10/596,111 are being filled on the date indicated below by electronic transmission with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via the electronic filing system (EFS-Web).

January 28 2009

Patricia A. Heim

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

The Patent Office is authorized to charge any fees associated with this filing to our deposit account no. 14-1270

Thomas M. Lundin, Reg. No. 48,979

In the Office Action of June 3, 2008, the Examiner rejected independent claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by either Shulze (US 6,893,396) or Khair (US 2002/0109621).

In Amendment B of August 29, 2008, claim 16 was *not* amended. Rather, the applicant requested reconsideration of the rejection of independent claim 16.

In the Office Action of December 4, 2008, the Examiner responded to the request for reconsideration of the rejection of claim 16 by withdrawing the prior rejections and making a new ground of rejection.

Because claim 16 was not amended, it is submitted that the citation of a new ground of rejection was not necessitated by the preceding Amendment B. Because the new ground of rejection applied against independent claim 16 was not necessitated by the applicant's response, it is submitted that the *Finality* of the December 4, 2008 Office Action is premature and should be withdrawn.

With the withdrawal of the *Finality* of the December 4, 2008 Office Action, the present amendment should be entered.

Applicants hereby request that the *Finality* of the December 4, 2008 Office Action be withdrawn and that Amendment C be entered.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas E. Kocovsky, Jr. 4

Registration No. 28,383

FAY SHARPELLP

The Halle Building, 5th Floor,

1228 Euclid Avenue

Cleveland, OH 44115-1843

Telephone: (216) 363-9000 (main) Telephone: (216) 363-9122 (direct)

Facsimile: (216) 363-9001

E-Mail: tkocovsky@faysharpe.com